

## Mojo 2.0: Connectome Annotation Tool

Seymour Knowles-Barley<sup>1</sup>\*, Mike Roberts<sup>2</sup>, Narayanan Kasthuri<sup>1</sup>, Dongil Lee<sup>1</sup>, Hanspeter Pfister<sup>2</sup> and Jeff W. Lichtman<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Harvard University, Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, USA <sup>2</sup>Harvard University, School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, USA

Available online at http://www.rhoana.org/



HARVARD School of Engineering and Applied Sciences

CENTER FOR BRAIN SCIENCE

## Introduction

A connectome is the wiring diagram of connections in a nervous system. Mapping this network of connections is necessary for discovering the underlying architecture of the brain and investigating the physical underpinning of cognition, intelligence, and consciousness [1, 2, 3]. It is also an important step in understanding how connectivity patterns are altered by mental illnesses, learning disorders, and age related changes in the brain.

Fully automatic computer vision techniques



available to segment electron are (EM) data. connectome microscopy Currently we use the Rhoana pipeline to process images, but results are still far from perfect and require additional human annotation to produce an accurate connectivity map [4].

Here we present Mojo 2.0, an open source, interactive, scalable annotation tool to correct errors in automatic segmentation results.

## **Mojo Features**

- Interactive annotation tool
- Smart scribble interface for split and merge operations
- Scalable up to TB scale volumes
- Entire segmentation pipeline including Mojo is open source and available online: http://www.rhoana.org/

The Mojo interface displaying an EM section from mouse cortex, approximately 7.5x5µm.



brain cortex dataset A mouse was Rhoana with annotated the image processing pipeline and corrected using Mojo.





**Original Image** 1.3x1.3µm



Initial

segmentation

User scribbles over segments to be merged



Corrected Segments touched by the user's scribble segmentation are merged

Partial annotations over two sub volumes totaling 2123µm<sup>3</sup> were made by novice users. On average, 1µm<sup>3</sup> was annotated in minutes and required 126 edit 15 operations. This compares favorably to estimates of manual tracing times which range between 0.1-1 $\mu$ m<sup>3</sup> per hour [5].







**Original Image** 1.3x1.3µm

Adjust Tool



User scribbles over membrane to be split



## Acknowledgments

Thanks to all out summer interns for their careful, diligent and painstaking annotation work. References

[1] Narayanan Kasthuri and Jeff W. Lichtman. Neurocartography. Neuropsychopharmacology, 35(1):342-343, 2010. [2] Jeff W. Lichtman and Joshua R. Sanes. Ome sweet ome: what can the genome tell us about the connectome? Current Opinion in Neurobiology, 18(3):346-353, 2008. [3] H Sebastian Seung. Reading the book of memory: Sparse sampling versus dense mapping of connectomes. Neuron, 62(1):17–29, 2009. [4] Verema Kaynig, Amelio Vazquez-Reina, Seymour Knowles-Barley, Mike Roberts, Thouis R. Jones, Narayanan Kasthuri, Eric Miller, Jeff W. Lichtman, and Hanspeter Pfister. Large Scale Automatic Reconstruction of Neuronal Processes from Electron Microscopy Images. IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging (under review). [5] Yuriy Mishchenko. Automation of 3D reconstruction of neural tissue from large volume of conventional Serial Section Transmission Electron Micrographs. J Neurosci Methods, 176(2): 276-289, 2009